UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,429	10/28/2003	David Mathieu	AUC-32815-1	9460
2543 7590 06/21/2010 ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP			EXAMINER	
750 MAIN STR		NGUYEN, PHONG H		
SUITE 1400 HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/695,429	MATHIEU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	May 2010				
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,30-32,40-42 and 44</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-18 and 30-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-42 and 44</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	* '	· ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	гатент Аррисаноп			

Application/Control Number: 10/695,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 40-42 and 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 40 calls for the blade guard 44 being removable from, and placeable on, the rotary trimmer as a unit without the operator being directly exposed to the cutting blade. This is not correct. As shown in Fig. 6, when the blade guard 44 is removed from or attached to the hub, the operator is still exposed to the cutting blade 50. Claim 40 will be examined as best understood by the Examiner.

Claim 40, line 10, the term "a the rotary trimmer" should be likely -- the rotary trimmer--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 40-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matthai et al. (5,702,415), hereinafter Matthai.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding claim 40, Matthai teaches a rotary trimmer comprising:

a cutting blade 9 having a plurality of apertures;

a hub (upper end of element 13) connected to the cutting blade 9;

a blade guard 80 securable to the hub.

See Fig. 2.

Regarding claims 41 and 42, a central aperture and a plurality of apertures are best seen in Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 44, see Fig. 2.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 05/05/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the blade 9 is not connected to the blade guard to form a blade guard assembly with the blade. This argument is not persuasive. Claim 40 does not call for the blade being connected to the blade guard. The blade guard 80 is removable from and attached to the hub is best seen in Fig. 2.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,429 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Phong H Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 June 17, 2010